1

2

3

4

56

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

1516

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR19-0244JLR
Plaintiff, v.)) ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED) MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL AND) PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE
HERMAN JAMES JOHN III,) TRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE
Defendant.)))

THE COURT has considered the unopposed motion of the parties to continue the trial date and pretrial motions deadline and finds that:

- (a) taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation due to counsel's need for more time to review the evidence, consider possible defenses, and gather evidence material to the defense, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv); and
- (b) a failure to grant such a continuance in this proceeding would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i); and
- (c) the additional time requested is a reasonable period of delay, as the defendant has requested more time to prepare for trial, to investigate the matter, to gather evidence material to the defense, and to consider possible defenses; and
- (d) the ends of justice will best be served by a continuance, and the ends of justice outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in any speedier trial, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A); and